

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,263	07/31/2003	Thomas G. Corbett	D5407-177 3794	
25397	7590 08/29/2005		EXAM	INER
DUANE, MORRIS, LLP			GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS	
*	WEST FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3150			ARTONII	PATER NOMBER
HOUSTON, 1	HOUSTON, TX 77027			
			D. MT. 14.11 DD. 00/00/00/0	

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/631,263	CORBETT, THOMAS G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer H. Gay	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Driority under 25 H S C S 119					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zunkel et al. (US 4,633,944).

Regarding claim 1: Zunkel et al. discloses a gravel packing method that involves the following steps:

- ➤ Running in a packer **290** and a screen assembly (6:10-20) support by the packer.
- ➤ Inserting a crossover assembly 12 that supports a wash pipe 460 at least in part into the packer.
- Flowing gravel through the packer and the crossover and through an annular space 1000 between the screen assembly and the wash pipe to an annular region outside the screen assembly (5:44-48, 6:10-20).
- Removing excess gravel in the annular space without moving the crossover and the wash pipe (6:60-68).

Regarding claim 3: The method further involves providing a clearance 1006 in the bore of the packer as it is set and allowing a fluid column to act through the clearance during setting of the packer to exert pressure on the formation below the packer. (5:49-51)

Regarding claim 4: The method further involves flowing fluid through the packer in a first direction to deposit gravel in the annular region and reversing the direction of flow through the packer to a second direction to remove excess gravel from the annular space (6:10-20, 60-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/631,263

Art Unit: 3672

Regarding claim 5: The method further involves providing at least one return port 434 in the wash pipe, exposing the return port to the annular space, and providing a first check valve in the port (Abstract).

Page 3

Regarding claim 6: The method further involves using the first check valve to prevent returning fluid passing through the screen assembly in the first direction after leaving the gravel in the annular region and entering the wash pipe from flowing through the return port. (Abstract, 6:10-20)

Regarding claims 7, 8: The method further involves providing a second check valve 450 in a flow path through the wash pipe, allowing fluid that enters a lower end of the wash pipe in the first direction to pass the second check valve while preventing fluid entering the wash pipe from the crossover in the second direction from passing the second check valve.

Regarding claim 9: The method further involves providing a seal bore 28 in the screen assembly, extending the wash pipe into the seal bore, defining the annular space between the seal bore and the packer, and selectively positioning the return ports within and above the seal bore.

Regarding claim 10: The method further involves blocking a passage in the packer from fluid return to the surface when the return ports is in the seal bore and forcing fluid to enter the formation after depositing gravel in the annular region when flowing in the first direction (6:20-45).

Regarding claim 11: The method further involves opening a passage in the packer for fluid return to the surface when the return port is out of the seal bore and disposed in the annular space and allowing fluid flowing in the first direction to pass through the screen, enter the wash pipe past the second check valve and flow through the opened passage in the packer to the surface (6:60-68).

Regarding claim 12: The method further involves reversing to the second fluid direction with the passage open in the packer and return port to remove gravel from the annular space.

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zunkel et al. in view of Rebardi et al. (US 4,858,690).

Regarding claims 2, 14, 15, and 17: Zunkel et al. discloses all of the limitations of the above claims except for the crossover including a seat to accept an obstructing object that allows pressure to be built up on the object when located on the seat where the seat is located below the gravel outlet.

Rebardi et al. discloses a gravel packing method that is similar to that of Zunkel et al. Rebardi et al. further teaches a crossover that includes a seat 223 located below gravel outlets 116, 201. The seat of the crossover is designed to accept an obstructing object 225 and allow pressure to be built up on the object when located on the seat and remain on the seat after the packer is set thus preventing fluid flow down the wash pipe yet allowing fluid flow around the crossover.

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the crossover of Zunkel et al. in order to have provided a means for controlling the flow of fluid through the crossover that would have also allowed the tool to be selectively pressurized.

Regarding claim 13: Zunkel et al. discloses all of the limitations of the above claims except for the wash pipe including tabs that engage the screen assembly for support in a first and second position.

Rebardi et al. further teaches a set of tabs 88 on a wash pipe 89 that engage the screen assembly for support in a first and second position (Figures 9-11).

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the wash pipe of Zunkel et al. to include

Art Unit: 3672

the tabs of Rebardi et al. in order to have provided a means for positioning the wash pipe relative to the screen assembly while also providing support other than the tubing string.

Regarding claim 16: The method further involves providing a seal bore 28 in the screen assembly, extending the wash pipe into the seal bore, defining the annular space between the seal bore and the packer, and selectively positioning the return ports within and above the seal bore.

Regarding claim 18: The method of Rebardi et al. further involves allowing flow in a second direction opposite the first direction to enter the wash pipe around the seat and exit the wash pipe through a return port and into an annular space, providing a check valve in the return port, and removing gravel from the annular space with flow passing through the check valve.

Regarding claim 19: The method further involves providing a clearance 1006 in the bore of the packer as it is set and allowing a fluid column to act through the clearance during setting of the packer to exert pressure on the formation below the packer. (5:49-51)

Regarding claim 20: The method further involves selectively blocking the clearance in the packer when flowing fluid through the packer in a first direction to deposit gravel in the annular region (6:10-20).

Response to Arguments

- 5. In view of applicant's amendment, the objections to the drawings, abstract, and claims have been withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 15 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Zunkel teaches moving the tubing string and thus the crossover and wash pipe after the gravel is placed to close the crossover assembly to allow a squeezing operation into the formation and against the screen and using this operation to alternate between circulating and squeezing.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,263

Art Unit: 3672

While the examiner acknowledges that Zunkel does teach moving the tubing string after the gravel placement to allow for a squeezing operation, it is noted that the passage referred to by applicant, column 6, lines 48-59, discusses the movement of the string in order to squeeze the gravel into the formation and does not indicate that the tubing string is moved to allow excess gravel to be removed. The tubing string is not moved during the actual gravel removal process but just prior to this step. During the actual removal of the gravel, the crossover and the wash pipe are held still. Further, applicant has not claimed that the crossover and wash pipe are held still during a squeeze operation or during the entire gravel packing operation. Claim 1 does not exclude the assembly from movement just prior to the removal of the excess gravel.

Page 6

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H. Gay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennafer H Gay Patent Examine

Art Unit 3672

JHG // August 23, 2005